

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/718,900                             | 11/21/2003  | Krishnan Chari       | 86421CPK                  | 1667             |  |
| 7590 06/14/2006                        |             |                      | EXAM                      | INER             |  |
| Paul A. Leipold                        |             |                      | CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID |                  |  |
| Patent Legal Staff                     |             |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street |             |                      | 2871                      |                  |  |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201               |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006   |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action |                  |        |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before th       | Filing of an App | al Bri | f |  |  |  |  |

| Application N .      | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/718,900           | CHARI ET AL. |  |  |
| Examin r             | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Tarifur R. Chowdhury | 2871         |  |  |

|  | Tarifur R. Chowdhury   | 2871   |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sh et with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LLOWANCE.  |   |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo         | idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 Cl         | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| b) The period for reply expires 5-Hohitus from the mailing date  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE            | g date of the final rejecti                              | on.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount<br>chortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                    |   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a content of the conte</li></ol> | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);<br>ter form for appeal by materially re<br>corresponding number of finally rej    | TE below);   |   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.124.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed.  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : lowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendme                                     | nt canceling the                          |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-27.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | vit or other evidence is                                 | necessary and                             |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai                                  | ls to provide a                           |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                  | ed.                                       |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar                                  | nce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)  |   |
|  |  |  |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment would require further consideration and/or search to define patentability..

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER